

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/677,318	10/03/2003	. Medhat A. Toukhy	2003US310	9492
26289 AZ ELECTRO	7590 11/08/2007 NIC MATERIALS USA C	EXAMINER		
ATTENTION: INDUSTRIAL PROPERTY DEPT.			SCHILLING, RICHARD L	
70 MEISTER AVENUE SOMERVILLE, NJ 08876			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•	,		1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/677,318	TOUKHY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Richard L. Schilling	1795			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Oct This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 32-37</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,32-37</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrections are considered.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application			

Application/Control Number:

10/677,318

Art Unit: 1795

- 1. Claims 1-9 and 32-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification does not reasonably provide enablement for compositions without polymer binders and absorbers for the reasons set forth in paragraph 1 of the final rejection filed 8-1-07. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Applicants' arguments are unconvincing since the specification fails to show how to use a composition only containing one of the recited base compounds as encompassed by the instant claims. The compositions of the instant claims must provide an antireflection function as well as being coatable in the disclosed use in the specification. Applicants' argument that the term antireflection coating composition in itself contains polymers, binders and other compounds is unconvincing since the specification does not contain such a definition and the instant claims are not considered to contain ingredients not recited in the claims.
- 2. Claims 1-9 and 32-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being fully met by Hasegawa et al. or Sato et al. for the same reasons as set forth in paragraphs 2 and 4 of the final rejection filed 8-1-07.
- 3. Claims 1-9 and 32-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being fully met by Nishimura et al. for the same reasons as set forth in paragraphs 3 and 4 of the final rejection filed 8-1-07.
- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 10-29-07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The arguments regarding the 112 rejection are answered above.
 Applicants' argument that the prior art does not disclose the same use for the claimed

Application/Control Number:

10/677,318

Art Unit: 1795

compositions as in applicants' specification is unconvincing since the intended use does not materially distinguish the claimed composition from the same compositions of the prior art. In regard to the cited solubility of the instant claims, the same compounds or compositions would have the same solubility properties. Also, the solvent recited in the instant claims may be any solvent, e.g. water, water miscible organic solvent or water immiscible organic solvent, since the photoresist recited may be any photoresist, e.g. water soluble or insoluble.

5. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10-29-07 has been entered.

All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

10/677,318

Art Unit: 1795

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Richard L. Schilling at telephone number 571-272-1335.

PRINTED TOWN 752